

Some applications of differential subordination on certain class of analytic functions defined by integral operator

R. M. EL-ASHWAH* AND M. K. AOUF**

* *Department of Mathematics., Faculty of Science, Damietta University, Egypt*

** *Department of Mathematics., Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt*

ABSTRACT

Two-parameters function $H(n, \lambda; z)$ involving the Feltt multiplier operator is introduced. Subordination properties as well as sufficient conditions for starlikeness are also obtained.

Keywords: Analytic functions; differential subordination; multiplier transformations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45.

INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$. Let S , $S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) be the subclasses of functions in A which are, respectively, univalent, starlike of order α and convex of order α in U . Denote by $S^*(0) = S^*$ and $C(0) = C$. Suppose also that P denotes the class of functions $k(z)$ given by

$$k(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic in U and satisfy the inequality

$$Re(k(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

If f and g are analytic in U , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in U$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalence (Miller & Mocanu, 2000; Bulboacă, 2005):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

For a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \tag{1.3}$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z). \tag{1.4}$$

For an analytic function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and for $n \in N_0$, Flett (1972) defined the multiplier transformations $I^n f$ by

$$I^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-n} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U; n \in N_0). \tag{1.5}$$

Clearly, the function $I^n f(z)$ is analytic in U . We note that for $n \in N_0$, we have

(i) $I^{-n} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k = D^n f(z),$

and

(ii) $z(I^{-n} f(z))' = D^{n+1} f(z),$

where the operator $D^n f$ was introduced by Sălăgean (1983). We also note that

$$I^n (I^m f(z)) = I^{n+m} f(z) \quad (z \in U)$$

for all integers n and m . Further, the operator I^n can be seen as a convolution of two functions. That is

$$I^n f(z) = (h * h * \dots * h * f)(z),$$

where the function $h(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-z} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-1} z^k$ occurs n times. It follows from (1.5) that

$$z(I^n f(z))' = I^{n-1} f(z) \quad (n \in Z) \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$I^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad I^{-1} f(z) = z f'(z) \quad , \quad I^{-2} f(z) = z(f'(z) + z f''(z)).$$

We now define a two-parameters function $H(n, \lambda; z)$ by

$$H(n, \lambda; z) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)} + \lambda \frac{I^{n-2}f(z)}{I^{n-1}f(z)} \quad (z \in U; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; n \in \mathbb{Z}; f \in A). \quad (1.7)$$

Finally, we denote by $K(n, \lambda, \alpha)$ the class of functions $f(z) \in A$, which satisfy the following condition:

$$Re(H(n, \lambda; z)) > \alpha \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We note that :

- (i) $K(0, \lambda, \alpha) = M(\lambda, \alpha)$ ($\lambda \geq 0; 0 \leq \alpha < 1$), is the class of λ -convex functions of order α (Srivastava & Attiya, 2007);
- (ii) $K(0, \lambda, 0) = M(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \geq 0$), is the class of λ -convex functions (Miller *et al.*, 1973; Mocanu, 1969; Mocanu, 1994);
- (iii) $K(0, 0, \alpha) = S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(0, 1, \alpha) = C(\alpha)$ (Srivastava & Owa, 1992).

Consider the first-order differential subordination

$$H(\varphi(z), z\varphi'(z); z) \prec h(z).$$

A univalent function q is called its dominant if $\varphi(z) \prec q(z)$ for all analytic functions φ that satisfy this differential subordination. A dominant \hat{q} is called the best dominant, if $q(z) \prec \hat{q}(z)$ for all the dominants q . For the general theory of the first-order differential subordination and its applications, we refer the reader to Bulboacă (2005) and Miller & Mocanu (2000).

DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION ASSOCIATED WITH $H(n, \lambda; z)$

To establish our main results we shall require the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (Miller & Mocanu, 1985 and Miller & Mocanu, 2000). Let the function $q(z)$ be univalent in U , and let the functions θ and φ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(U)$, with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(U)$. Set

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$$

and suppose that

- (i) $Q(z)$ is a starlike function in U ,
- (ii) $Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) > 0$ ($z \in U$).

If p is analytic in U and $p(0) = q(0)$, $p(U) \subseteq D$ and

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)), \quad (2.1)$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$, and q is the best dominant of (2.1).

Theorem 1. Let $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$, $n \in Z$ and $f(z) \in A$. Suppose also that the function $q(z)$ univalent in U , with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in U$), and satisfies each of the following inequalities:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in U) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} q(z) \right) > 0 \quad (z \in U). \tag{2.3}$$

If

$$H(n, \lambda; z) \prec q(z) + \lambda \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \tag{2.4}$$

then

$$\frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (2.4).

Proof. We choose

$$g(z) = \frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)}, \quad \theta(w) = w \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(w) = \frac{\lambda}{w}.$$

Then $\theta(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in the domain $C^* = C \setminus \{0\}$, which contains $q(U)$, $q(0) = 1$, and $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(U)$. Next, we define the functions $Q(z)$ and $h(z)$ by

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) = \lambda \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z) = q(z) + \lambda \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}. \tag{2.6}$$

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that $Q(z)$ is starlike in U and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

We note also that the function $g(z)$ is analytic in U , with $g(0) = q(0) = 1$, since $0 \notin q(U)$. Therefore $g(U) \subset C^*$. Thus, the hypotheses of Lemma 1 are satisfied and we find that, if

$$\theta(g(z)) + zg'(z)\varphi(g(z)) = H(n, \lambda; z) \prec h(z), \tag{2.7}$$

then

$$\frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)} \prec q(z).$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominants.

Remark 1. If $q(z) \in P$ and $\lambda > 0$, then we can omit the condition (2.3) in Theorem 1.

Remark 2. If $q(z) \in P$ and $\lambda < 0$, then we can omit the condition (2.2) in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. For $\lambda > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, if $f(z) \in A$ and

$$H(n, \lambda; z) \prec \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)^2 z^2 + 2[(1 - 2\alpha) + \lambda(1 - \alpha)]z + 1}{(1 - z)[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z]}, \tag{2.8}$$

then the operator $I^n f(z)$ is a starlike function of order α in U , that is,

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)} > \alpha \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $z \in U$, we first put

$$q(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \in P$$

in Theorem 1. Then, since

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{1 - z} + \frac{1}{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z} - 1 \right) > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Remark 3. Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ in (2.8), we have

$$\frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z},$$

which implies that the operator $I^n f(z)$ is a starlike function of order α in U , that is, $Re\left\{\frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)}\right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in U)$.

By taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1. For $\lambda > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $f(z) \in A$ and

$$H(n, \lambda; z) \prec \frac{z^2 + 2(1 + \lambda)z + 1}{1 - z^2}, \tag{2.9}$$

then the operator $I^n f(z)$ is a starlike function in U , that is,

$$Re\left(\frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Theorem 3. Let $\lambda < 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$A(\lambda, \alpha; z)Re(z) + B(\lambda, \alpha; z) > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$A(\lambda, \alpha; z) = -\lambda(1 - 2\alpha)|1 - z|^2 + [\lambda + 2(1 - \alpha)]|1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z|^2, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$B(\lambda, \alpha; z) = |1 - z|^2[(1 + \lambda - 2\alpha)|1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z|^2 - \lambda] - [\lambda + 2(1 - \alpha)]|1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z|^2. \tag{2.11}$$

If $f(z) \in A$ and $H(n, \lambda; z)$ satisfies the subordination (2.8), then the operator $I^n f(z)$ is a starlike function of order α in U , that is,

$$Re\left(\frac{I^{n-1}f(z)}{I^n f(z)}\right) > \alpha \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

Proof. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \lambda < 0$ and $z \in U$, we first set $q(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}$ in Theorem 1, to obtain,

$$1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{1}{\lambda}q(z) = \frac{1 + [\lambda + (1 - 2\alpha)]z}{\lambda(1 - z)} + \frac{1}{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}.$$

Then, after some calculations, we observe that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{1}{\lambda}q(z)\right) = -\frac{A(\lambda, \alpha; z)\operatorname{Re}(z) + B(\lambda, \alpha; z)}{\lambda|1 - z|^2|1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z|^2} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

By taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2. For $\lambda < 0$, such that

$$(\lambda + 1)(1 + |z|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}(z) < 0 \quad (z \in U). \tag{2.12}$$

If $f(z) \in A$ and the function $H(n, \lambda; z)$ satisfies the subordination relation (2.9), then the operator $I^n f(z)$ is starlike in U .

Proof. For $\lambda < 0$ and $z \in U$, we first set $q(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}$, in Theorem 1, to obtain,

$$1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{1}{\lambda}q(z) = \frac{1 + (\lambda + 1)z}{\lambda(1 - z)} + \frac{1}{1 + z}.$$

Then, after some calculations, we observe that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{1}{\lambda}q(z)\right) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)[(\lambda + 1)(1 + |z|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}(z)]}{\lambda|1 - z|^2|1 + z|^2} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

which completes the proof of Corollary 2.

Finally, by taking $\alpha = 0$ and $\lambda \leq -2$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the following sufficient condition for starlikeness.

Corollary 3. For $\lambda \leq -2$ and $z \in U$, if the function $H(n, \lambda; z)$ satisfies the subordination relation (2.9), then the operator $I^n f(z)$ is starlike in U .

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |z| < 1$, $z \in U$, then from (2.12) for $\lambda \leq -2$, we have

$$(\lambda + 1)(1 + |z|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}(z) < 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

which proves Corollary 3.

REFERENCES

- Bulboac, T. 2005.** Differential subordinations and superordinations, recent results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca.
- Flett, T. M. 1972.** The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **38**: 746-765.
- Miller, S. S. & Mocanu, P. T. 1985.** On some classes of first-order differential subordinations, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, **32**: 185-195.
- Miller, S. S. & Mocanu, P. T. 2000.** Differential subordinations : Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel.
- Miller, S. S., Mocanu, P. T. & Reade, M. O. 1973.** All α_- convex functions are univalent and starlike, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **37**: 553-554.
- Mocanu, P. T. 1969.** Une propriete de la convexite generalisee dans la theorie de la representation conforme, *Mathematica*, (Cluj) **11**(34): 127-133.
- Mocanu, P. T. 1994.** On certain subclasses of starlike functions, *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai Mathematica*, **39**(4): 3-9.
- Slgean, G. S. 1983.** Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.* (Springer-Verlag) **1013**, 362-372.
- Srivastava, H. M. & Attiya, A. A. 2007.** Some applications of differential subordination, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **20**(11): 1142-1147.
- Srivastava, H. M. & Owa, S. 1992.** (Eds.). Current topics in analytic function theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong.

Submitted : 04/11/2012

Revised : 13/08/2013

Accepted : 29/08/2013

بعض تطبيقات التبعية التفاضلية على صنف خاص من الدوال التحليلية المعرفة بمؤثر تكاملي

* رابحة الأشوح و ** محمد كمال عوف

** قسم الرياضيات - كلية العلوم - جامعة دمياط
** قسم الرياضيات - كلية العلوم - جامعة المنصورة

خلاصة

نقدم في هذا البحث دالة ذات وسيطين تشتمل على مؤثر فيلت الضارب . كما نحصل أيضاً على خواص التبعية والشروط الكافية لتكون هذه الدوال نجمية .

العجلة العربية للعلوم الإنسانية

كاديمية - صليبية - محكمة تصدر عن مجلس النشر العلمي - جامعة الكويت

بحوث باللغة العربية

بحوث باللغة الإنجليزية

مناقشات وندوات

عروض الكتب الجديدة

تقارير ومؤتمرات

مجلس

النشر

العلمي

رئيسة التحرير

أ.د. سعد عبد الوهاب العبد الرحمن



P.o.Box: 26585 - Safat. 13126 Kuwait

Tel: (+965) 4817689 - 4815453 Fax: (+965) 4812514

E-mail: njh@kuiv.edu.kw <http://www.pubcouncil.kuiv.edu.kw/ajh/>